11 August 2015

Our Ref: F14/193

Contact: Josh Ford - 9562 1634

Ms Deewa Baral Planning Officer NSW Department of Planning & Environment deewa.baral@planning.nsw.gov.au ROCKDALE CITY COUNCIL On Historic Botany Bay

Dear Ms Baral

RE: SECTION 56(1) SUBMISSION FOR PLANNING PROPOSAL TO AMEND ROCKDALE LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN 2011, 33-35 COOK ST, TURRELLA

I refer to your email of 10 August 2015, relating to the Department's request for both a covering letter and delegations checklist to support Council's submission of the abovementioned Planning Proposal for a Gateway determination.

Council hereby formally requests that the Department progress the abovementioned Planning Proposal to Gateway. Included below is (i) the Planning Proposal, (ii) supporting Urban Design Report and (iii) the Council report and minutes dated 5 August 2015.

Council requests delegation of plan making functions for this matter. A delegations checklist has been completed and is annexed to this covering letter.

If you require further information or clarification over any of the matters raised in this letter, please do not hesitate to contact Council's Urban Strategist, Josh Ford, on 9562 1634.

Yours faithfully

David Dekel

Manager Place Outcomes

Attached

- 1. Planning Proposal
- 2. Urban Design Report
- 3. Council Report & Minutes 5 August 2015

Attachment 4 - Evaluation criteria for the delegation of plan making functions

Checklist for the review of a request for delegation of plan making functions to councils
Local Government Area:
Rockdale City Council
Name of draft LEP: 33 - 35 Cook Street, Turrella
Address of Land (if applicable): As alove.
Intent of draft LEP: To vezone land from R2 Low Density Residentia Zone to R4 High Density Residential Zone and amend development standards.
Additional Supporting Points/Information:

(NOTE - where the matter is identified as relevant and the requirement has not been met, council is attach information to explain why the matter has not been addressed)	Council response		Department assessment	
	Y/N	Not relevant	Agree	Not agree
ls the planning proposal consistent with the Standard Instrument Order, 2006?	14			
Does the planning proposal contain an adequate explanation of the intent, objectives, and intended outcome of the proposed amendment?	4			
Are appropriate maps included to identify the location of the site and the intent of the amendment?	4			
Does the planning proposal contain details related to proposed consultation?	14			
Is the planning proposal compatible with an endorsed regional or sub-regional planning strategy or a local strategy endorsed by the Director-General?	7			
Does the planning proposal adequately address any consistency with all relevant S117 Planning Directions?	4			
s the planning proposal consistent with all relevant State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs)?	7			
Minor Mapping Error Amendments	Y/N			
Does the planning proposal seek to address a minor mapping error and contain all appropriate maps that clearly dentify the error and the manner in which the error will be addressed?		/		
Heritage LEPs	Y/N			
Does the planning proposal seek to add or remove a local neritage item and is it supported by a strategy/study endorsed by the Heritage Office?	2	The second secon		
Does the planning proposal include another form of endorsement or support from the Heritage Office if there is no supporting strategy/study?	7			
Does the planning proposal potentially impact on an item of State Heritage Significance and if so, have the views of the Heritage Office been obtained?	7			
Reclassifications	Y/N			
s there an associated spot rezoning with the reclassification?	X	1		
f yes to the above, is the rezoning consistent with an endorsed Plan of Management (POM) or strategy?		~		
s the planning proposal proposed to rectify an anomaly in a classification?		~		
Will the planning proposal be consistent with an adopted POM or other strategy related to the site?		/ ,		
Will the draft LEP discharge any interests in public land under section 30 of the Local Government Act, 1993?		/		

If so, has council identified all interests; whether any rights or interests will be extinguished; any trusts and covenants relevant to the site; and, included a copy of the title with the		<u> </u>		
planning proposal? Has the council identified that it will exhibit the planning proposal in accordance with the department's Practice Note (PN 09-003) Classification and reclassification of public land through a local environmental plan and Best Practice Guideline for LEPs and Council Land?				
Has council acknowledged in its planning proposal that a Public Hearing will be required and agreed to hold one as part of its documentation?		1		
Spot Rezonings	Y/N			
Will the proposal result in a loss of development potential for the site (ie reduced FSR or building height) that is not supported by an endorsed strategy?	7			
Is the rezoning intended to address an anomaly that has been identified following the conversion of a principal LEP into a Standard Instrument LEP format?	N			
Will the planning proposal deal with a previously deferred matter in an existing LEP and if so, does it provide enough information to explain how the issue that lead to the deferral has been addressed?	2		The state of the s	
If yes, does the planning proposal contain sufficient documented justification to enable the matter to proceed?		/		
Does the planning proposal create an exception to a mapped development standard?	2			
Section 73A matters				
Does the proposed instrument a. correct an obvious error in the principal instrument consisting of a misdescription, the inconsistent numbering of provisions, a wrong cross-reference, a spelling error, a			менеричения подости под	
grammatical mistake, the insertion of obviously missing words, the removal of obviously unnecessary words or a formatting error?;	N		enational representation de la constitución de la c	
 address matters in the principal instrument that are of a consequential, transitional, machinery or other minor nature?; or 			пітерінертийне мейоруму развити да правити д	
c. deal with matters that do not warrant compliance with the conditions precedent for the making of the instrument because they will not have any significant adverse impact on the environment or adjoining land?			Na Administratorius managaminus del managaminu	
(NOTE - the Minister (or Delegate) will need to form an Opinion under section 73(A(1)(c) of the Act in order for a matter in this category to proceed).	٠			

NOTES

- Where a council responds 'yes' or can demonstrate that the matter is 'not relevant', in most cases, the planning proposal will routinely be delegated to council to finalise as a matter of local planning significance.
- Endorsed strategy means a regional strategy, sub-regional strategy, or any other local strategic planning document that is endorsed by the Director-General of the department.